

## Self Assessment

You can self-assess your organisation's progress towards alignment with ISO 20400 by answering 20 simple questions.

#### How to use

Simply answer the questions by ticking one of the score boxes based on your assessment according to the scoring guidance.

Then work out an average score of each section, and plot this number on the radar diagram.

Once all the scores have been plotted, you can join to dots to reveal your ISO 20400 performance results.





## 1. Understanding the fundamentals

Quest	ion 1					
			oolicy and strated sational drivers to			impacts of your
	N/A	1	_ 2	3	_ 4	5
Quest	ion 2					
		nisation's p ded supply		y align with the	e principles of ex	ercising due dili-
	I/A	1	_ 2	3	4	5

#### Scoring guidance

Score 5 = The policy and strategy covers environmental, social and economic procurement issues and focuses on all material impacts in the supply chains. It also aligns with drivers that are considered as critical ones by the Industry and by the organisation.

Score 3 = Many material sustainability impacts and drivers are reflected.

Score 1 = There's no organisation's policy and strategy or it is very generic.

Score 5 = The policy and strategy clearly expresses how the organisation identifies, assesses, prevents, mitigates and accounts for actual and potential adverse sustainability impacts in the supply chains, as an integral part of decision-making and risk management. It also recognises the importance of putting in place responsible procurement practices that do not generate adverse impacts.

Score 3 = The concept of due diligence, as expressed above, is partially included in the policy and strategy.

Score 1 = No reference to due diligence.



## 2. Integrating sustainability into the organization's procurement policy and strategy

□ N/A	1	_ 2	3	_ 4	_ 5
Question 4					
Are clear ac	countabilities f	or sustainable p	rocurement est	cablished?	
□ N/A	1	_ 2	3	4	5

#### Scoring guidance

Score 5 = Commitment to sustainable procurement stated in a public document, endorsed at top management level.

Score 3 = Commitment stated in internal documents or procedures.

Score 1 = No formal commitment but work in progress.

Score 5 = Clearly defined sustainable procurement roles and responsibilities, including those of key decision makers outside the procurement and/or sustainability function where applicable.

Score 3 = Clearly defined sustainable procurement roles and responsibilities for the procurement and/or sustainability function only.

Score 1 = Roles and responsibilities in development.

Section 2 continues on the next page



## 2. Integrating sustainability into the organization's procurement policy and strategy (continued)

Question 5  Do you have SMART sustainable procurement objectives and goals that fully align with your organisation's priorities?					Score 5 = SMART objectives set for each priority sustainability issue specific to the procurement	
					activity and supply chain  Score 3 = Objectives stated but not SMART or comprehensively addressing all issues.	
□ N/A	_ 1	_ 2	3	_ 4	5	Score 1 = No objectives but work in progress.
Question 6		nt performance :	regularly review	ed with key stal	keholders?	Score 5 = Sustainable procurement performance is regularly reviewed with all key stakeholders and the organisation ensures that progress is made according to SMART objectives.
□ N/A	1	_ 2	3	4	5	Score 3 = Sustainable procurement performance is regularly reviewed by at least Sustainability and Procurement.
						Score 1 = Not regularly reviewed but work in progress.
						Your average score
ISQ 20400	.org					

Scoring guidance

## 3.1. Governing procurement

### Question 7

Is sustainable procurement integrated into your procurement governance process?

N/A

) 4

#### Scoring guidance

Score 5 = Governance process in operation covering sustainable procurement for the full scope of the procurement activity (e.g. categories, suppliers, contracts), including clear rules and guidance in procedures and systems.

Score 3 = Governance process in operation but does not cover the full scope of the procurement activity and/or lacks of clear rules and guidance in procedures and systems.

Score 1 = Governance process in development.



## 3.2. Enabling people

Score 5 = Clearly defined sustainable procurement elements in job descriptions, objectives and personal development plans, including those of key decision makers outside the procurement function where applicable.  Score 3 = Clearly defined sustainable procurement elements in job descriptions, objectives and personal development plans for the procurement function only.  Score 1 = SP elements in development.
Score 5 = All relevant staff, including key decision makers outside of the procurement function, are actively supported to build their sustainable procurement capability.  Score 3 = More than 50% or relevant staff are actively supported to build their sustainable procurement capability.  Score 1 = Support to sustainable procurement capability development in progress.
Your average score

Scoring guidance



## 3.3. Identifying and engaging stakeholders

Question 10	Score 5 = Stakeholder mapping exercise carried out in the past 12 months, active stakeholder engagement programme in place which includes internal functions, supply chains and other stakeholders.	
Do you identify your internal and external sustainable procurement stakeholders and engage with them?		
$\bigcap$ N/A $\bigcap$ 1 $\bigcap$ 2 $\bigcap$ 3 $\bigcap$ 4 $\bigcap$ 5	Score 3 = Informal stakeholder engagement takes place regularly.	
	Score 1 = Stakeholder management plan in progress.	
Question 11	Score 5 = Initiatives in place covering all key suppliers and/or sustainability issues.	
Do you engage with your supply chains to deliver your sustainability goals, through	Score 3 = Some initiatives in place for some key suppliers and sustainability issues.	
initiatives such as supplier development plans, supplier relationship management, capacity building, supplier diversity or industry or commodity based sustainability initiatives?	Score 1 = Initiatives in development.	
N/A 1 2 3 4 5		
Question 12	Score 5 = Active engagement with key external stakeholders.	
Do you engage with other external stakeholders to deliver your sustainable procurement goals, e.g. government and non-governmental organisations?	Score 3 = Ad hoc engagement on a case by case basis.	
	Score 1 = Rare engagement.	
N/A		
	Your average score	

Scoring guidance

### 3.4. Setting sustainable procurement priorities

#### Question 13

Have you determined sustainable procurement priorities for key categories of spend, suppliers and/or sustainability issues?

N/A

3

4

Scoring guidance

Score 5 = Priority setting incorporating all key categories, suppliers and sustainability issues completed in past 12 months.

Score 3 = Priority setting partially completed or done in the past 36 months.

Score 1 = Priority setting process planned.



### 3.5. Measuring and improving performance

# **Question 14** Have you defined a limited number of significantly important metrics and performance indicators you require from your internal functions and supply chains? Have you formally communicated them? N/A Question 15 Do you routinely collect performance data from your internal functions and supply chains? Do you use this data to manage performance?

#### Scoring guidance

Score 5 = Metrics and indicators fully defined and incorporated into stakeholders' objectives and contracts for all key categories and suppliers, aligned with sustainable procurement priorities.

Score 3 = Metrics and indicators defined but not fully incorporated.

Score 1 = Metrics and indicators in development.

Score 5 = Comprehensive data collection, performance reviews, trend analysis and corrective action.

Score 3 = Data collection does not cover all impacts. Some analysis and performance management but not comprehensive.

Score 1 = Data collection in development.



## 3.6. Establishing a grievance mechanism

#### Scoring guidance

Score 5 = Grievance mechanism in operation and that aligns with the following principles: based on engagement, dialogue and mediation, legitimate, accessible and easy to understand, safe, predictable, equitable, transparent, rights-compatible and a source of continuous learning.

**Score 3 =** Grievance mechanism in operation but does not align with some of these principles.

Score 1 = Grievance mechanism in development.



#### 4. Integrating sustainability into the procurement process Scoring guidance Question 17 Score 5 = 80%+ Score 3 = 50%+ What percentage of your spend categories - products, services and assets - with significant Score 1 = Less than 20% or uncertainty about sustainability risks (including opportunities) can demonstrate strategic management of which sourcing activities represent significant sustainability risks (including opportunities). sustainability throughout the procurement and contract management process? N/A Score 5 = The planning process efficiently and Question 18 effectively supports staff to: · assess relevant and significant sustainability Does your planning process enable the integration of material sustainability risks (including risks (including opportunities) · analyse all the costs that will be incurred opportunities) into the category strategy? during the lifetime of the goods or services, · analyse organisational needs and how they could be challenged to achieve sustainability objectives, · analyse how the market can best support our sustainability objectives Score 3 = The planning process supports most of the above and could be improved. Score 1 = The planning process supports some of the above but is not efficient or effective.

ISO 20400 or

Section 4 continues on the next page

#### 4. Integrating sustainability into the procurement process -Scoring guidance (continued) Score 5 = The sourcing process efficiently and **Question 19** effectively supports staff to: · evaluate suppliers on sustainability capabili-Does your sourcing process enable the management of material sustainability risks ties, qualification and experiences · evaluate products and services on sustainability (including opportunities)? technical requirements, certifications, labels · integrate sustainability elements into the performance requirements, evaluation criteria N/A and contractual requirements · include sustainability in the negotiation process Score 3 = The sourcing process supports most of the above and could be improved. Score 1 = The sourcing process supports some of the above but is not efficient or effective. Score 5 = The contract and supplier **Question 20** management process efficiently and effectively supports staff to: Does your contract and supplier management process enable the management of · actively manage supplier sustainability performance, material sustainability risks (including opportunities) and achievement of desired · encourage supplier/customer joint initiatives on sustainability when appropriate, sustainability objectives? · manage supplier failure on sustainability requirements N/A 2 3 · capture lessons learned and use them on the next sourcing activity Score 3 = The sourcing process supports most of the above and could be improved. Score 1 = The sourcing process supports some of the above but is not efficient or effective. Your average score



### You current ISO 20400 performance results

#### What to do now

#### You should now have 9 numbers.

Plot these numbers on the radar diagram opposite along the corresponding line. Once all the scores have been plotted, you can join to dots to reveal your ISO 20400 performance results.

#### We'd welcome your feedback

We'd love to know how helpful you have found this self assessment document.

Email Carole Ann at: caroleasmith@actionsustainability.com











